

OTHER RESPONSES to the question: "If your council has or is planning to sell any camps, what reasoning have they given for this?" (Multiple responses noted in italics.)

- "Blah, blah, blah..."
- All Council seems to live for, talk about or breathe is MONEY, MONEY, MONEY.
- All lies:....save the camp!!!!
- All ridiculous and not supported by data!
- Another organization is interested in the land
- Camp is now closed for the winter
- Camp is on an island in upstate NY and is only available from May - Sept.
- Camp is outside council area, even though it is the closest for nearly half the council
- Camp is poorly utilized due to remote location
- Camp was vandalized- many repairs needed to update it/restore it to good condition
- Camps are not needed for outdoor experiences
- Cookie boycott last year
- Cost of maintaining is disproportionate to use.....camps not fully utilized
- Council claims " Girl want urban settings"
- Council doesn't tell us
- Council needs funds for everyday expenses in the board
- Council tired of subsidizing the camps.
- COUNCIL'S JUSTIFICATION
- Desire to build more technology related indoor facilities
- Development around camp
- Doesn't meet goals
- Etc., etc. etc.....
- Girls and leaders want modern facilities (nice accommodations, flush toilets), not the rustic camps we own.
- I'm just assuming it's because they don't want to spend the funds to upkeep the properties.
- Insurance cost
- Interestingly, our most modern camp is the one to be sold. Research showed girls want a more rustic camp experience and the newest camp is the most expensive to maintain.
- It is near another camp and is in good condition on the water. The other camp is on the same lake but on the other side and is a primitive camp site that they would like to improve
- Its "under utilized"

- Land is eroding due to drought
- Land was rented and not ours.
- Lots of "flimsy" excuses that no one agrees with.
- Money for pensions
- Money used for salaries at council rather than investing in the girls.
- More cost-effective for the council to consolidate camps to a more metropolitan region.
- No pool or horses
- Non ADA compliant
- None given
- Not all camps are self-sustaining. Lack of funds for maintenance
- One is just a property, and there are 2 that cannot be used during hunting season
- Our camps were rested at one point but have been reopened. They said it was lack of funds and lack of interest.
- Repeated damage from storms/flooding
- Safety (*2 responses*)
- Safety reasons- lots of hunters in area
- Security
- See trefoilintergrity.org for full details.
- Small space at Camp Tweedale
- Some camps were in highly developed areas with neighborhood and houses abutting the properties.
- The camp that they are trying to sale was the most used bur going to sale because of the land
- The council owns more properties than it can maintain or use
- They are closing the most modern camp built with cookie money!
- They do not publicize it
- They gave excuses that were not true
- They haven't put taken into camps
- They haven't said yet
- They said it wasn't rustic enough, after upgrading it because it was too rustic!
- They stand to make money on it to be used for other camps, but Camp Todd is like our second home and it should not be sold. My girls will be devastated, as will I as I work there in the summer, my girls attend and work at summer round up. Please don't let them sell it.
- They want to money
- To far from Cincinnati
- Too costly to bring up to code
- Too many
- Too many camps in a small state

- Too many camps in one area
- Two camps in close proximity post realignment.
- Vandalism, expense per camper
- Want to focus funds into building out our new camp.
- Want to put money into other camps
- We have tons of properties. Extensive work is done before any sites are sold.
- We really had too many camps for the council population. even with the furthest away camp sold we have plenty of camp land

Responses are presented "as is". They have not been edited for spelling or grammar.